

(Affiliated to VTU, Belgaum & Approved by AICTE, New Delhi)
(NAAC Accredited & **ISO 9001:2015 Certified Institution)**NH 206 (B.H. Road), Gubbi, Tumkur – 572 216. Karnataka





DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

FEEDBACK FROM STAKEHOLDERS (2018-19)

01	STUDENTS
02	ALUMNI
03	TEACHERS
04	EMPLOYER



(NAAC Accredited and ISO 9001-2015 Certified Institution)
(Affiliated to VTU, Belgaum & Recognised by AICTE, New Delhi)
NH 206 (B.H. Road), Gubbi, Tumkur – 572-216. Karnataka.



Structured Feedback from Students on Curriculum

Name of the Student	L. C
USN	K.R. SOTIAN
Department	109150335
Semester	CSE 8
Academic Year	Sth
Modernic Teal	2018-19

Note: Choose the relevant option 4-Excellent, 3- Very Good, 2- Good, 1-Fair, 0-Poor

Q. No.	Quarties States	
1	Question Statement	Option
'	How would you evaluate a program based on its curriculum syllabi across different semesters?	
2	How would you assess the accessibility of textbooks and reference books in the library?	3
3	How would you evaluate the courses based on their alignment with current and/or future technologies?	2
4	How would you assess the classroom environment in terms of its suitability for effective lecture delivery?	3
5	How would you evaluate the quality and relevance of the courses included in the curriculum?	4
6	How would you assess the effectiveness of institute activities in facilitating job placements and career opportunities?	2
7.	How would you evaluate the overall quality of teaching throughout the entire program?	\$
8	How would you assess the teacher's approach towards your overall development?	3
9	How would you evaluate the transparency of the evaluation system in the institution?	4
10	Does the mentor actively follow up with you regarding the assigned tasks?	<u> </u>

Any other Comments/Suggestions

Arrange cultural programs for the Students.

Signature with Date of Student



(NAAC Accredited and ISO 9001 2015 Certified Institution)
(Affiliated to VTU, Belgaum & Recognised by AICTE, New Delhi)
NH 206 (B.H. Road), Gubbi, Tumkur – 572 216 Karnataka



S	ructured Feedback from Students on Curriculum	The state of the s
Name of the Student	2 Students on Curriculum	
USN	Dalage K.S	
Department	16 G 756 SO12	
Semester	CSE	
Academic Year	310	

Note: Choose the relevant option 4-Excellent, 3- Very Good, 2- Good, 1-Fair, 0-Poor

Q. No.	Quanting Chat	
1	Question Statement	Option
	How would you evaluate a program based on its curriculum syllabi across different semesters?	ي
2	How would you assess the accessibility of textbooks and reference books in the library?	•
3	How would you evaluate the courses based on their alignment with current and/or future technologies?	N
4	How would you assess the classes the	2
	How would you assess the classroom environment in terms of its suitability for effective lecture delivery?	
5	How would you evaluate the quality and relevance of the courses included in the curriculum?	4
6	How would you assess the effectiveness of institute activities in facilitating job placements and career opportunities?	<u>る</u>
7.		0
8	How would you evaluate the overall quality of teaching throughout the entire program?	4
_	How would you assess the teacher's approach towards your overall development?	
9	How would you evaluate the transparency of the evaluation system in the institution?	4
10	Does the mentor actively follow up with your results and a system in the institution?	
	Does the mentor actively follow up with you regarding the assigned tasks?	2 .

Any other Comments/Suggestions

Atmange cultural programs for the students and oucouncide the students to participate in curtural activities outside campus also.

Signature with Date of Student



(NAAC Accredited and ISO 9001:2015 Certified Institution) (Affiliated to VTU, Belgaum & Recognised by AICTE, New Delhi) NH 206 (B.H. Road), Gubbi, Tumkur ~ 572 216. Karnataka.



Structured Feedback from Students on Curriculum

Name of the Student

USN

Department

CSC

Semester

Academic Year

Structured Feedback from Students on Curriculum

Chaylo G

Note: Choose the relevant option 4-Excellent, 3- Very Good, 2- Good, 1-Fair, 0-Poor

Q. No.	Question Statement	
1	How would your seek to	Option
'	How would you evaluate a program based on its curriculum syllabi across different semesters?	3
2	How would you assess the accessibility of toythool and a first	
	How would you assess the accessibility of textbooks and reference books in the library?	&
3	How would you evaluate the courses based on their alignment with current and/or future technologies?	Ø.
	technologies?	7
4	How would you assess the classroom environment in to	3
	How would you assess the classroom environment in terms of its suitability for effective lecture delivery?	-
5	How would you evaluate the quality and	3
	How would you evaluate the quality and relevance of the courses included in the curriculum?	
6	How would not be a	4
U	How would you assess the effectiveness of institute activities in facilitating job	
7	placements and career opportunities?	4
7.	How would you evaluate the annual	
	How would you evaluate the overall quality of teaching throughout the entire program?	7
8		3
	How would you assess the teacher's approach towards your overall development?	_
9	??	3
	How would you evaluate the transparency of the evaluation system in the institution?	
10		3
	Does the mentor actively follow up with you regarding the assigned tasks?	1.
	e assigned tasks?	4

Any other Comments/Suggestions

Conduct online quizces in Courses like IOT, Bigdata, Data Mining courses.

> Bhavya G ABILLA Signature with Date of Student

(NAAC Accredited and ISO 9001:2015 Certified Institution) (Affiliated to VTU, Belgaum & Recognised by AICTE, New Delhi) NH 206 (B.H. Road), Gubbi, Tumkur – 572 216. Karnataka.

Structured Feedback from Alumni on Curriculum

Name of the Alumnus	Smalli BK.
Department	CIE
Year of graduation	2017-18
Contact Details	9008035584
Phone, Email, Organization Working	BKSWathi @ quail com Axicont Technologies

Note: Choose the relevant option 4-Excellent, 3- Very Good, 2- Good, 1-Fair, 0-Poor

Q. No.	Question Statement	
1	Quality of course content, including project work, throughout the entire program.	3
2	How would you evaluate the coverage of courses throughout the program?	4
3	How would you rate the curriculum in terms of its contribution to your employability?	Ц
4	How would you evaluate the applicability/relevance of the syllabus to real-life situations?	3
5	How would you assess the program curriculum in terms of its effectiveness in developing knowledge, concepts, skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives?	4
6	How would you rate the clarity and relevance of classroom instruction and teaching materials?	3
7.	How would you evaluate the emphasis on research orientation during the program?	3
8	Do teachers provide you with information about your expected competencies, course outcomes, and program outcomes?	4
9	Do teachers identify your strengths and provide appropriate challenges to encourage your growth?	4
10	Are teachers capable of identifying your weaknesses and assisting you in overcoming them?	4
11	The institute/teachers employ student-centric methods such as experiential learning, participative learning, and problem-solving methodologies to enhance the learning experiences.	4
12	Do teachers actively encourage your participation in extracurricular activities?	4
13	The institute/teachers make efforts to instill soft skills, life skills, and employability skills in order to prepare you for the professional world.	4

Any other Comments/Suggestions

Organize webinars on writing research papers to enable Students to learn how to write research papers.

Signature of the Alumni BK wathing mell, 6 m 9008035584

(NAAC Accredited and ISO 9001:2015 Certified Institution) (Affiliated to VTU, Belgaum & Recognised by AICTE, New Delhi) NH 206 (B.H. Road), Gubbi, Turnkur – 572 216. Karnataka.

Structured Feedback from Alumni on Curriculum

Name of the Alumnus	Varishini, N
Department	CSF
Year of graduation	2017-18
Contact Details	9035180433
Phone, Email, Organization Working	Atos Syntel, varshugg@gmail. Com

Note: Choose the relevant option 4-Excellent, 3- Very Good, 2- Good, 1-Fair, 0-Poor

Q. No.	Question Statement	T
1	Quality of course content, including project work, throughout the entire program.	3
2	How would you evaluate the coverage of courses throughout the program?	4
3	How would you rate the curriculum in terms of its contribution to your employability?	3
4	How would you evaluate the applicability/relevance of the syllabus to real-life situations?	4
5	How would you assess the program curriculum in terms of its effectiveness in developing knowledge, concepts, skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives?	3
6	How would you rate the clarity and relevance of classroom instruction and teaching materials?	4
7.	How would you evaluate the emphasis on research orientation during the program?	3
8	Do teachers provide you with information about your expected competencies, course outcomes, and program outcomes?	4
9	Do teachers identify your strengths and provide appropriate challenges to encourage your growth?	4
10	Are teachers capable of identifying your weaknesses and assisting you in overcoming them?	4
11	The institute/teachers employ student-centric methods such as experiential learning, participative learning, and problem-solving methodologies to enhance the learning experiences.	3
12	Do teachers actively encourage your participation in extracurricular activities?	4
13	The institute/teachers make efforts to instill soft skills, life skills, and employability skills in order to prepare you for the professional world.	3

Any other Comments/Suggestions

can have mou's with good companies which helps the students to carrybut interestip.

Now. Signature of the Alumni Vasshin 99 @ g mail. Gm 9035780433

(NAAC Accredited and ISO 9001 2015 Certified Institution) (Affiliated to VTU, Belgaum & Recognised by AICTE, New Delhi) NH 206 (B.H. Road), Gubbi, Tumkur – 572 216. Kamataka.

Structured Feedback from Alumni on Curriculum

Name of the Alumnus	Thoshika. B
Department	CIE
Year of graduation	2017-18
Contact Details	9481060492, 9480204406
Phone, Email, Organization Working	Belovitte, thospita, b@gmail.com

Note: Choose the relevant option 4-Excellent, 3- Very Good, 2- Good, 1-Fair, 0-Poor

Q. No.	Question Statement	
1	Quality of course content, including project work, throughout the entire program.	2
2	How would you evaluate the coverage of courses throughout the program?	2
3	How would you rate the curriculum in terms of its contribution to your employability?	3
4	How would you evaluate the applicability/relevance of the syllabus to real-life situations?	3
5	How would you assess the program curriculum in terms of its effectiveness in developing knowledge, concepts, skills, analytical abilities, and broadening perspectives?	4
6	How would you rate the clarity and relevance of classroom instruction and teaching materials?	2
7.	How would you evaluate the emphasis on research orientation during the program?	3
8	Do teachers provide you with information about your expected competencies, course outcomes, and program outcomes?	2
9	Do teachers identify your strengths and provide appropriate challenges to encourage your growth?	3
10	Are teachers capable of identifying your weaknesses and assisting you in overcoming them?	4
11	The institute/teachers employ student-centric methods such as experiential learning, participative learning, and problem-solving methodologies to enhance the learning experiences.	3
12	Do teachers actively encourage your participation in extracurricular activities?	3
13	The institute/teachers make efforts to instill soft skills, life skills, and employability skills in order to prepare you for the professional world.	2

Any other Comments/Suggestions

Organize some webiner on Courses like cyber Security, ethical hacking by experts, so that the smalles will be experted to new technology

Signature of the Alumni



Any other Comments/Suggestions

(NAAC Accredited and ISO 9001:2015 Certified Institution)
(Affiliated to VTU, Belgaum & Recognised by AICTE, New Delhi)
NH 206 (B.H. Road), Gubbi, Tumkur – 572 216. Karnataka.



Structured Feedback from Teachers on Curriculum

Name of the faculty	Sugheith a (
Designation	Auistant Professol	-
Department	CCC Felles (C)	
Academic Year	2018-19	
Mate. Observation relationships		

Note: Choose the relevant option 4-Excellent, 3- Very Good, 2- Good, 1-Fair, 0-Poor

Q. No.	Question Statement	
1	How would you assess the quality and relevance of the courses incorporated in the curriculum?	4
2	How would you assess the students' understanding of the course material you teach?	/
3	Do students actively engage in discussions with you regarding assignments and problems?	4
4	Do you provide illustrative examples and practical applications to explain the concepts?	7
5	How would you evaluate the environment of the institution in terms of its suitability for effective academic delivery?	3
6	How would you assess the courses in terms of their alignment with current and/or future technologies?	7
7.	How would you evaluate the outcomes that your students have achieved from the courses?	
8	How would you assess the transformation of your students after completing the course?	3
9	How would you evaluate the institutional activities that support students in securing jobs and placements?	5
10	How would you assess the transparency of the evaluation system in the university?	3

organise	Some	Nation al	Level	wolkshops
on some	r bx	ogram ming	Langu	agel

Subsite 6. S Signature of the Faculty Member



(NAAC Accredited and ISO 9001:2015 Certified Institution)
(Affiliated to VTU, Belgaum & Recognised by AICTE, New Delhi)
NH 206 (B.H. Road), Gubbi, Tumkur – 572 216. Karnataka.



Structured Feedback from Teachers on Curriculum

Name of the faculty	Labitaci K
Designation	Lohitesh Kumar N.
Department	
Academic Year	2018-19
Make Oliver H. I.	12018

Note: Choose the relevant option 4-Excellent, 3- Very Good, 2- Good, 1-Fair, 0-Poor

Q. No.	Question Statement	
1	How would you assess the quality and relevance of the courses incorporated in the curriculum?	ų.
2	How would you assess the students' understanding of the course material you teach?	1
3	bo students actively engage in discussions with you regarding assignments and problems?	3
4	Do you provide illustrative examples and practical applications to explain the concepts?	Ū.
5	How would you evaluate the environment of the institution in terms of its suitability for effective academic delivery?	4
6	How would you assess the courses in terms of their alignment with current and/or future technologies?	7
7.	How would you evaluate the outcomes that your students have achieved from the courses?	
8	How would you assess the transformation of your students after completing the course?	 h
9	How would you evaluate the institutional activities that support students in securing jobs and placements?	4
10	How would you assess the transparency of the evaluation system in the university?	2

Any other Comments/Suggestions

Arrange faculty development programs beyond teaching to improve skills of the faculty.

Signature of the Faculty Member



(NAAC Accredited and ISO 9001:2015 Certified Institution)
(Affiliated to VTU, Belgaum & Recognised by AICTE, New Delhi)
NH 206 (B.H. Road), Gubbi, Tumkur – 572 216. Karnataka.



Stru	ctured Feedback from Teachers on Curriculum	
Name of the faculty	Via 20 M	
Designation	No. 10 The state of the state o	
Department	Jana 10 CC	
Academic Year	2016-0	

Note: Choose the relevant option 4-Excellent, 3- Very Good, 2- Good, 1-Fair, 0-Poor

Q. No.	Question Statement	
1	How would you assess the quality and relevance of the courses incorporated in the curriculum?	6
2	How would you assess the students' understanding of the course material you teach?	
3	Do students actively engage in discussions with you regarding assignments and problems?	_ <u>6</u>
4	Do you provide illustrative examples and practical applications to explain the concepts?	4
5	How would you evaluate the environment of the institution in terms of its suitability for effective academic delivery?	3' 3
6	How would you assess the courses in terms of their alignment with current and/or future technologies?	3
7.	How would you evaluate the outcomes that your students have achieved from the courses?	3
8	How would you assess the transformation of your students after completing the course?	
9	How would you evaluate the institutional activities that support students in securing jobs and placements?	3
10	How would you assess the transparency of the evaluation system in the university?	3

\sim					
Chrane	Worldhops	ar	molhemal	anti	Q. C. (5

Any other Comments/Suggestions

Given DV

Signature of the Faculty Member



QUALITY PROCESS





INDUSTRY FEEDBACK

Date: 02ND MARCH 2020

Comp	any	Name	
------	-----	------	--

TECHNOLOGICS

Name and Designation of representative

RAJEEV SWAMY

HR TEAM, **8792800007**

Your Opinion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Needs Improvement
Quality of previously placed students		/		
Quality of currently placed students		1		

Overall Competency Level of our Students:

Competency	Students Overa	ll Rating	
Communication	Weak 🗆	Average 🗹	Strong
Problem Solving/ Decision making	Weak 🔲	Average	Strong 🗹
Commitment	Weak 🗀	Average	Strong 🗹
Listening skills	Weak 🗆	Average	Strong 🗹
Teamwork	Weak 🗆	Average	Strong 🗹
Consistency	Weak 🗆	Average	Strong
Technical Skills and knowledge	Weak	Average	Strong

MAINING S PLAGEMENT OFFICER



QUALITY PROCESS





Your Opinion Excellent Good Fair Needs In Quality of previously placed students ✓ ✓ Quality of currently placed students ✓ ✓ Overall Competency Level of our Students: Competency Students Overall Rating Communication Weak □ Average □ Problem Solving/ Students Overall Rating	INDUSTRY FEEDBACK					
Name and Designation of representative : VYSHAK HR TEAM, 997271 Your Opinion Excellent Good Fair Needs In Quality of previously placed students Quality of currently placed students Overall Competency Level of our Students: Competency Students Overall Rating Communication Weak Average Students	ARY 2020					
Your Opinion Excellent Good Fair Needs In Quality of previously placed students Quality of currently placed students Overall Competency Level of our Students: Competency Students Overall Rating Communication Weak Average Students						
Your Opinion Excellent Good Fair Needs In Quality of previously placed students / / Quality of currently placed students / / Overall Competency Level of our Students: Competency Students Overall Rating Communication Weak Average Problem Solving/ Weak						
Quality of previously placed students Quality of currently placed students Overall Competency Level of our Students: Competency Students Overall Rating Communication Weak Average Students Solving/	HR TEAM, 9972711881					
Quality of currently placed students Overall Competency Level of our Students: Competency Students Overall Rating Communication Weak Average Students Solving/	iprovement					
Overall Competency Level of our Students: Competency Students Overall Rating Communication Weak Average S Problem Solving/	,					
Competency Students Overall Rating Communication Weak Average Students Overall Rating						
Communication Weak Average S Problem Solving/	Overall Competency Level of our Students:					
Problem Solving/						
	Strong					
Decision making	Strong 🔽					
Commitment Weak Average S	Strong 🗹					
Listening skills Weak Average Average	Strong 🔽					
Teamwork Weak Average Average	Strong 🔽					
Consistency Weak Average S	Strong 🗹					
Technical Skills and knowledge Weak Average S	trong					
nything else you would like to comment <u>Queall</u>						

TRAINING & PLACEMENT OFFICER









INDUSTRY FEEDBACK

Date:	25-02-2020	

Company	Name

: QUEST INFORMATICS

Name and Designation of representative

: SHUBHAMANGALA RUDRESH

DIRECTOR, QUEST INFORMATICS

Your Opinion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Needs Improvement
Quality of previously placed students		/		
Quality of currently placed students		1	·	

Overall Competency Level of our Students:

Competency	Students Ov	erall Rating	
Communication	Weak 🗀	Average 🔽	Strong
Problem Solving/ Decision making	Weak 🗀	Average	Strong
Commitment	Weak 🗀	Average	Strong 🗹
Listening skills	Weak 🗆	Average	Strong 🗹
Teamwork	Weak 🗆	Average	Strong 🗹
Consistency	Weak 🗆	Average	Strong 🔽
Technical Skills and knowledge	Weak	Average	Strong

Anything else you would like to comment _	Good	
The second secon	•	

RAINING & PLACEMENT OFFICER
CHARRASANCESHWARA
INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
GUBBI, TUMKUR - 572216.











INDUSTRY FEEDBACK

Date: 11TH JANUARY 2020

			2	LE II JANUART 2020	
Company Name			:	24.7 AI	
Name and Designati	ne and Designation of representative : ANITHA B G				
TAQ HEAD,					
Your Opinion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Needs Improvement	
Quality of previously placed students					
Quality of currently blaced students					
Overall Competency Level of our Students:					
Competency	Students Overa	ıll Rating			
Communication	Weak 🗆	Aver	age 🗹	Strong	
Problem Solving/ Decision making	Weak 🗆	Aver	age 🔲	Strong	
Commitment	Weak 🗆	Aver	age 🔲	Strong ¹	
Listening skills	Weak 🗆	Average		Strong 🖵	
Teamwork	Weak 🗆	Aver	age 🔲	Strong 🗹	
Consistency	Weak 🗆	Aver	age 🔲	Strong 🖵	
Technical Skills and knowledge	Weak	Aver	age	Strong 🔽	
	d like to commen	t Excel	lent		

TRAINING & PLACEMENT OFFICER
CHANNABASAVESHWARA
INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
GUBBI, TUMKUR - 5722





TRAINING AND PLACEMENT QUALITY PROCESS





	IND	INDUSTRY FEEDBACK					
			Dat	e [:] 18 TH JANUARY 2020			
Company Name			: AICUM	AN TECHNOLOGIES			
Name and Designat	ion of representa	tive	: CHANE	DRASHEKAR			
VP, ESD, 9972711881							
Your Opinion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Needs Improvement			
Quality of previously placed students	7.	/					
Quality of currently placed students		/		ı			
Overall Competency Level of our Students:							
Competency	Students Over	all Rating					
Communication	Weak 🗆	Aver	age 🖃	Strong			
Drohlam Calving		A					
Problem Solving/ Decision making	Weak L	Aver	age	Strong 🔄			
	Weak Weak	Aver	_	Strong Strong			
Decision making			age 🗆	7.20			
Decision making Commitment	Weak 🗆	Aver	age 🗆	Strong 🗹			
Commitment Listening skills	Weak Weak	Aver Aver	age age age	Strong Strong			

RAINING & PLACEMENT OFFICE CHANNING A PLACEMENT OFFICE INSTITUTE OF TOCKING OF GUEBI, TUNKUR - 67221











INDUSTRY FEEDBACK

Date: 22ND NOVEMBER 2019 : ACCORD SOFTWARE SERVICES **Company Name** Name and Designation of representative HR080 2535 0105 Excellent Good Fair **Needs Improvement** Your Opinion Quality of previously placed students Quality of currently placed students Overall Competency Level of our Students: **Students Overall Rating** Competency Average 🗹 Weak Strong Communication Problem Solving/ Strong 🔽 Weak 🔲 Average Decision making Strong 🔽 Weak Average Commitment Strong 🖾 Average Weak Listening skills Strong 🔽 Weak 🔲 Average Teamwork Strong Weak Average Consistency Technical Skills and Weak ___ Average Strong knowledge Anything else you would like to comment <u>food</u> oud

TRAINING & PLACEMENT OFFICE CHANNABASAVESHWARA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY GUSBI, TUMKUR - 672216.



Company Name

TRAINING AND PLACEMENT

QUALITY PROCESS

: CGI





INDUSTRY FEEDBACK

Date: 25TH October 2019

			TAQ TEAM	9880506326	
Your Opinion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Needs Improvement	
Quality of previously placed students		/	· .		
Quality of currently placed students				ı	
Overall Competency	Level of our Stu	ıdents:			
Competency	Students Overa	ll Rating			
Communication	Weak 🗆	Aver	age 🗹	Strong	
Problem Solving/ Decision making	Weak 🔲	Average Strong [
Commitment	Weak 🔲	Average Strong Strong			
Listening skills	Weak 🗆	Average Strong Strong			
Teamwork	Weak	Average Strong Strong			
Consistency	Weak 🗆	Aver	age 🔲	Strong	
Technical Skills and knowledge	Weak	Aver	rage	Strong	
ything else you woul	d like to comment	: Over	all Go	od	
			<i>v</i>		

TRAINING & PLACEMENT OFFICER
CHANNABASAVESHWARA
INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
GUBBI, TUMKUR - 572216.









INDUSTRY FEEDBACK

Date 15TI	¹ October	2019
-----------	----------------------	------

	Com	pany	Nan	ne
--	-----	------	-----	----

: SCII PVT LTD

Name and Designation of representative

: MR T M PRASAD

HR & Administrative Manager 9448991140

Your Opinion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Needs Improvement
Quality of previously placed students		/		
Quality of currently placed students		/		

Overall Competency Level of our Students:

Competency	Students Overall	Rating	
Communication	Weak 🗆	Average 🖸	Strong
Problem Solving/ Decision making	Weak	Average	Strong
Commitment	Weak 🗆	Average	Strong
Listening skills	Weak 🗆	Average	Strong 🖵
Teamwork	Weak 🗆	Average	Strong:
Consistency	Weak 🗆	Average	Strong
Technical Skills and	Weak	Average	Strong

TRAINING & FLACEMENT OFFICER









INDUSTRY FEEDBACK

Date: 15TH October 2019

Compan	y Name
--------	--------

: NTT DATA

Name and Designation of representative

: Mrs Urmimala Sarkar

Administrative Manager

080 2665 9482

Your Opinion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Needs Improvement
Quality of previously placed students				
Quality of currently placed students		/		

Overall Competency Level of our Students:

Students Over	all Rating	
Weak 🗆	Average 🔽	Strong
Weak 🗀	Average	Strong 🔽
Weak 🗀	Average	Strong 🗖
Weak 🗆	Average	Strong 🖵
Weak 🗆	Average	Strong 🖵
Weak 🗆	Average	Strong 🗹
Weak	Average	Strong
	Weak Weak Weak Weak Weak Weak Weak Weak	Weak Average Weak Average Weak Average Weak Average Weak Average Weak Average

Anything else you would like to comment	4000	Perfor	nance_	
			-	

TRAINING & PLACEMENT OFFICER
CHANNABASAVESHWARA
INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLC
GUBBI, TUMKUR - 6722.10.











INDUSTRY FEEDBACK

Date 27TH September 2019

Company N	a	me	į
-----------	---	----	---

: THOUGHT FOCUS

Name and Designation of representative

: MS SATHISH

HR HEAD, 9448991140

Your Opinion	Excellent	Good	Fair	Needs Improvement
Quality of previously placed students		\checkmark		
Quality of currently placed students		✓ .		

Overall Competency Level of our Students:

Competency	Students Overall	Rating	, , ,	
Communication	Weak 🗀	Average 🗹	Strong	
Problem Solving/ Decision making	Weak	Average	Strong 🗹	
Commitment	Weak 🗆	Average	Strong, Strong	
Listening skills	Weak 🗀	Average	Strong 🗹	
Teamwork	Weak 🔲	Average	Strong 🗹	
Consistency	Weak 🗀	Average	Strong 🖂	
Technical Skills and knowledge	Weak	Average	Strong	
nything else you would like to comment <u>Excellent</u> attitude				
	The state of the s			

TRAINING & PLACEMENT OFFICER
CHANNABAGAVESHWARA
INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
GUEBI, TUMKUR - 572210